|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **These are some Q&A from the Assessment 2 Discussion Thread**  **on Canvas from Anthony Clapp** | |
| Question | the IT technologies section should be at least 4\*(600+300+300)words = 4800 words, is this correct? and what sections are on the website vs in the report vs individual reports  if i'm correct all information should be on the website and the individually uploaded document only includes the link to this site ? am i right? |
| Answer | IT Tech: Correct 4 \* 1200.  Report Format: Everything goes in the Group Report (only one member needs to submit).  You could put everything on the website but it's not an enforced requirement.  The A2 Group website at a minimum has the Team Profile + links to all the members live GitHub Pages A1. |
| Question | Group Reflection part of assignment 2. Is it a brand new document where all of the members sit down together at the same time and answer the attributes from the assignment sheet? Not repeating what was said in their individual contribution. |
| Answer | Each member has an individual 200 word take on the A2 work / process /etc.  There is a collective Group reflection 400 words. That could essentially be considered a summarised team perspective sure, the reflective discussion should be performed as a group on voip or the likes. |
| Question | A further question relating to the IT Technologies section. Do the reports in this section have to use peer reviewed sources as if doesn’t specifically state. |
| Answer | Not a requirement for peer reviewed sources.  I'll quickly add - If it's something outlandish or a claim that likely doesn't seem to make sense - please at least supply multiple sources. |
| Question | *1. What information are we supposed to be presenting on the group website vs the pdf report?* |
| Answer | Website: Team Profile and all that encompasses that section.  Report: Everything. Including Team Profile. |
| Question | *2. For the group website, is it merely a collation of the information we presented in our individual websites?* |
| Answer | Not exactly. Please review the Spec + Rubric. |
| Question | *3. Multiple times it says in the specifications: "This may have changed due to feedback from Assignment 1" Whose or what feedback is this referring too?* |
| Answer | Feedback / Results from A1 - should be out Monday for you. |
| Question | *4. Are we only using GitHub and creating a repository in the same manner as Assignment 1? Is there some special way to create a group repository or can I just share the link to one I create for the group?* |
| Answer | Use GitHub create a new Repo and add your group members to it, GitHub Pages setup again and submit (Pages URL + GitHub Repo etc in Tools).  [https://help.github.com/en/github/setting-up-and-managing-your-github-user-account/inviting-collaborators-to-a-personal-repository (Links to an external site.)](https://help.github.com/en/github/setting-up-and-managing-your-github-user-account/inviting-collaborators-to-a-personal-repository) |
| From Anthony | Your work needs to constitute a self-contained document that makes sense without the reader referring to the marking specification to understand the meaning of the information that you are communicating. |